

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/551,871	04/18/2000	Kazunari Yoshida	0020-4699P	2420
. 7	7590 11/01/2002			
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 747 Falls Church, V	VA 22040-0747		HUNTER, A	ALVIN A
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711 DATE MAILED: 11/01/2002	± 18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		- CM			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
_	09/551,871	YOSHIDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alvin A. Hunter	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u>13 May 2002</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allocation closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims	owance except for formal maler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac					
Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language ¡ 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has t	been received.			
Attachment(s)		1			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/551,871

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

In view of the response to Examiner's Answer filed on May 3, 2002,

PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new grounds of rejection set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/551,871

Art Unit: 3711

Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6336872. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because U.S. Patent No. 6336872 uses the term center and intermediate layer instead of inner core and outer core. U. S. Patent No. 6336872 claims a center and intermediate layer in which have the same dimensions and properties of that claimed in the present application; therefore, the claimed golf ball anticipates the features claimed in the present invention.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is 703-306-5693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Sewell, can be reached on (703) 308-2126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Art Unit: 3711

Page 4

Paul T. Sewell Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700